Exhibit Z

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	New York, N.Y.
V •	22 Cr. 231 (LTS)
SCOTT BECKER,	
Defendant.	
	-x Plea
	April 21, 2022 11:10 a.m.
Before:	
HON. LAURA	A TAYLOR SWAIN,
	District Judge
	EARANCES
DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney f Southern District of New	
BY: MATTHEW D. PODOLSKY Assistant United States	
hissistant onited states	necorney
COHEN & GRESSER, LLP Attorneys for Defendant	
BY: JASON BROWN	
DUOUDU KINC	
PHOEBE KING	
PHOEBE KING	
PHOEBE KING Also Present:	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

THE COURT: Good morning. Would you please introduce yourselves.

MR. PODOLSKY: Good morning, your Honor, Matthew

Podolsky for the government, and I am joined at counsel table

by Special Agent Andreas Economou-Ellison of the Federal Bureau

of Investigation.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Podolsky and Special Agent Economou-Ellison.

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good morning, your Honor.

Dominique Jackson here for Pretrial Services.

THE COURT: Good morning, Officer Jackson.

MR. BROWN: Good morning, your Honor. Jason Brown, of Cohen & Gresser, and I am here with my colleague Phoebe King.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Brown; good morning, Ms. King; and good morning, Mr. Becker.

Mr. Becker, is there a member of your family or a friend here in court today?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: And -- yes.

MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, I can represent that another special agent with the F.B.I. is in attendance in the back of the Court.

THE COURT: Thank you for making that clarification.

I have received a written affirmation and application

from Mr. Podolsky that seeks the sealed filing of all of the documents related to this proceeding as well as the sealed docketing of the case as a Doe case. Is there any objection to that application?

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Noting that there is none, I have reviewed it carefully, and I find that the application is sufficient to show cause for the measures requested by reason of the higher values of protection of ongoing law enforcement investigations and activity. The order requires the government, within 90 days, to update the Court as to whether and to what extent there is need for the continuation of these measures.

So the application is granted in accordance with the provisions of the proposed order which I have just signed.

As to the transcript, copies can be provided to counsel for the parties and the Court, but to no one else, except on a Court order on notice to the parties. And since the entire docket is sealed, at this point we don't have to worry about the technicalities of the way the transcript would be reflected on the docket. We will deal with that when we get to the next stage. So this transcript will be sealed.

We are here for a first appearance and anticipated waiver of indictment, arraignment, and plea proceeding. Is that consistent with everybody's understanding?

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So before we proceed further, I must share some important information with you all. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5(f) requires the Court to remind the parties orally and in writing of the prosecution's obligations under the Supreme Court's 1963 Brady v. Maryland decision and the cases that have built upon that decision and of the possible consequences of violating those obligations.

I hereby direct government to comply with its obligations, under *Brady v. Maryland* and its progeny, to disclose to the defense all information, whether admissible or not, that is favorable to the defendant, material either to guilt or to punishment, and known to the government.

Possible consequences for noncompliance may include dismissal of individual charges or the entire case, exclusion of evidence, and professional discipline or court sanctions on the attorneys responsible.

I will enter a written order more fully describing this obligation and the possible consequences of failing to meet it, and I direct the government to review and comply with that order.

Mr. Podolsky, do you understand these obligations and confirm that you and your colleagues have fulfilled or will fulfill them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor, I acknowledge the obligations that you have outlined and we understand them and will comply with them.

THE COURT: Thank you.

As this is Mr. Becker's first appearance, I will begin by advising Mr. Becker as to certain rights, and the arraignment on the information and the waiver will be addressed as part of the plea allocution colloquy if Mr. Becker wishes to continue with the proposed disposition proceeding after being advised of his rights.

Mr. Becker, would you please stand.

Please state your full name.

THE DEFENDANT: Scott Vincent Becker.

THE COURT: And how old are you?

THE DEFENDANT: I am 38.

THE COURT: I will now explain certain rights that you have under the Constitution of the United States.

You have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you have already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can be used against you.

Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You have the right to be released, either

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conditionally or unconditionally, pending trial unless I find that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court hearings and the safety of the community.

If the government were to ask me to detain you pending trial, you are entitled to a prompt hearing on whether such conditions exist.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an attorney today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if you are unable to afford an attorney, I will appoint an attorney to represent you.

Do you understand these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you wish to have and are you able to afford and obtain counsel on your own?

THE DEFENDANT: I -- yes.

THE COURT: Have you retained Mr. Brown and his firm to represent you in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are responsible for paying the fees and expenses associated with Mr. Brown's

M412becP kjc defense of you in this case? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And do you wish the Court to appoint you 4 counsel instead? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, thank you. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated, Mr. Becker. 7 I'm informed that Mr. Becker has an application to waive indictment and enter a plea of guilty to a three-count 8 sealed information that is captioned United States v. Scott 9 10 Becker. Is that correct, Mr. Brown? 11 MR. BROWN: Yes, it is, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And is the plea pursuant to an agreement 13 with a printed date of April 15, 2022 and an execution date of 14 April 21, 2022 that has been marked as Government Exhibit 1? 15 MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And Mr. Brown, do you have that at defense 17 table? 18 MR. BROWN: I do. 19 THE COURT: Do you also have at defense table an 20 executed advice of rights form that has been marked as Court 21 Exhibit 1? 22

MR. BROWN: Yes, we do, your Honor.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you. So if you will just hold those at defense table, I will have questions about them later for Mr. Becker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So Mr. Podolsky, would you please make a statement regarding the government's victim identification and notification activities, if any, in connection with this proceeding.

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor. We have taken reasonable efforts to notify victims. In light of the particularities of this proceeding and ongoing law enforcement efforts, certain of those notifications will take place after this proceeding, but we have considered our obligations in that respect and complied with them.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Becker, before I accept your waiver of indictment and your quilty plea, there are a number of questions that I must ask while you are under oath to assure that your waiver and plea are valid. I may at times cover a point more than once and I may cover matters that were also addressed in the advice of rights form that you have seen. If I do, that will be because it is very important that you understand what is happening here today. If you don't understand something that I ask you, please say so, and I will reword the question or you may speak with your attorney.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Please stand now to take the oath.

(Defendant sworn)

1	THE COURT: Would you please state your full name
2	again for the record.
3	THE DEFENDANT: Scott Vincent Becker.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Becker, do you understand that you
5	have solemnly promised to tell the truth and that if you answer
6	any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may
7	later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or
8	making a false statement?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. You can be seated.
11	Mr. Brown, would you mind just pulling your mask up so
12	that it covers your nose. Thanks so much.
13	So Mr. Becker, again, how old are you?
14	THE DEFENDANT: 38.
15	THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
16	THE DEFENDANT: I completed my bachelor's degree.
17	THE COURT: In what field?
18	THE DEFENDANT: In the a double major in accounting
19	and finance.
20	THE COURT: Are you able to read, speak, and
21	understand the English language well?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Are you an American citizen?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And how did you become a citizen of the

M412becP kjc United States? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: I was born here. THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been 3 under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am. THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized 6 7 for any mental illness or for any type of addiction, including drug or alcohol addiction? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. 10 THE COURT: Have you ever been addicted to any drugs 11 or alcohol. 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. 13 THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any drugs, medicine, or pills, or had any alcohol to drink? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Is your mind clear today? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Are you feeling well physically today? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Are you comfortable making important decisions for yourself today? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Are you represented by a lawyer here

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

24

25

today?

M412becP kjc 1 THE COURT: And what is your lawyer's name. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Jason Brown and Phoebe King. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 Mr. Brown, do you have any doubt as to Mr. Becker's 5 competence to waive indictment and plead quilty at this time? 6 MR. BROWN: No, I do not. 7 THE COURT: Ms. King, do you have any such doubts? MS. KING: I do not. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 Mr. Podolsky, do you have any doubt as to Mr. Brown's 11 competence to waive indictment and plead quilty. 12 MR. PODOLSKY: No, I have no doubt, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 Mr. Becker, your attorney has informed me that you 15 wish to waive indictment and plead guilty to a three-count information. Do you wish to waive indictment and plead quilty? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 18 THE COURT: Have you discussed your case fully with

THE COURT: Have you discussed your case fully with your attorneys, including the charges to which you intend to plead guilty and any defenses that you may have to those charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Have you and your attorneys discussed the consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and their representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Becker's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor, I find that he is fully competent to waive indictment and enter an informed plea at this time.

Before I accept your waiver and plea, sir, I'm going to ask you some more questions. These questions are intended to satisfy the court that you want to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty and that you fully understand your rights and the consequences of your plea. I will now describe to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You will be giving up these rights if you plead guilty.

Please listen carefully. If you don't understand something that I am saying or describing, stop me and I or your attorney will explain it more fully.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you that are in the information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right to plead not guilty and to continue to plead not guilty to each

of the charges?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. You would not have to prove that you were innocent at a trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of 12 people selected from this district would have to agree unanimously in order to find you guilty.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, and at all stages leading up to it, you would have the right to be represented by an attorney, and if you could not afford one, an attorney would be provided to you free of cost.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your attorney could cross-examine them. Also, you would have the right to have your attorney object to the government's evidence and offer evidence on your behalf if you so desired. In addition, you would have the right to have witnesses required

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to come to court to testify in your defense and you would have the right to testify yourself, but you would not be required to testify.

Do you understand all of that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if there were a trial and you decided not to testify, no adverse inference could be drawn against you based on your decision not to testify?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were convicted at a trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand each and every one of the rights that I have asked you about?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about any of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty today, you will be giving up each and every one of these rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that you will be

giving up any possible claim that your constitutional rights may have been violated?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if you plead guilty today, you will not have a trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading guilty, you will also have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself because I will ask you questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty as charged and you will have to admit and acknowledge your guilt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you can change your mind right now and refuse to plead guilty? You don't have to enter this plea if you don't want to for any reason.

Do you understand that fully?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you still want to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: The document that contains the charges to which you have indicated you wish to plead guilty is called an information. It has been issued by the United States Attorney. You have a constitutional right to be charged by an indictment rather than an information. An indictment would be a charge issued from a grand jury.

M412becP kjc 1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Brown, would you please show Mr. Becker the waiver of indictment form. 4 5 MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Becker, have you signed this waiver of 7 indictment. THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 11 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys 12 before you signed it. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 14 THE COURT: Did you understand it before you signed 15 it? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And do you understand that if you do not 18 waive indictment, if the government wants to prosecute you on the particular charges that are in the information, it would 19 20 have to present those charges to a grand jury which might or

might not indict you on them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Do you understand that you are under no obligation to waive indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1	THE COURT: And do you understand that by waiving
2	indictment you are giving up your right to have these charges
3	presented to a grand jury?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand what a grand jury is?
6	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
7	THE COURT: Has anyone given you anything or
8	threatened you or made any promises to you to get you to waive
9	indictment?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the information,
12	that is, the document that says United States v. Scott Becker
13	at the top and sealed information that details the charges
14	against you?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Have you read it?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
18	THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Do you understand the charges against you
21	that are detailed in the information?
22	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
23	THE COURT: If you want me to, I will read the
24	information out loud now here in court in full. Would you like
25	me to read it out loud to you in full?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: No, thank you.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Becker's waiver of indictment is knowing and voluntary. I accept it and it is so ordered.

So Mr. Becker, I am going to ask you some summary questions regarding the charges.

Do you understand that Count One of the information charges you with violating Title 18 of the United States Code Section 1962(d) by willfully and knowingly being part of a conspiracy, from at least in or about 2020 up to and including in or about March 2021, to violate the racketeering laws of the United States by conducting and participating, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the affairs of what the information defines as the Archegos enterprise through a pattern of activity consisting of multiple offenses involving fraud in the sale of securities in violation of Title 15 of the United States Code Sections 78; (b) and 78ff and Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations Section 240.10b-5, as well as offenses involving fraud in the sale of securities in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1348 and acts indictable under Title 18, Section 1343 relating to wire fraud; and that Count One further charges that it was part of the conspiracy that you agreed that a conspirator would commit at least two acts of racketeering activity in the conduct of the affairs of the Archegos enterprise.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And have I pronounced "arch egos" correctly?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am. It's "are kay goes."

THE COURT: Oh, okay. There were a number of possibilities, so thank you. Archegos.

Do you understand you are charged in Count Two with violating Title 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-5, Title 15 of the United States Code Sections 78j(b) and 78ff and Title 18, Section 2 of the United States Code by engaging in and aiding and abetting a scheme to defraud Archegos's counterparties through false and misleading statements regarding aspects of Archegos's business portfolio and assets from at least in or about 2020 up to and including at least in or about March 2021.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that Count Three charges you with violating Title 18, Sections 1343 and 2 by engaging in and aiding and abetting a scheme to defraud Archegos's counterparties of their rights to control their assets and thereby exposing Archegos's counterparties to risk of economic harm by false and misleading statements regarding aspects of Archegos's business portfolio and assets, including statements conveyed through interstate wires from in or about 2020 up to and including in or about March 2021?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the government would have to prove each and every part or element of each of these charges beyond a reasonable doubt at a trial if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky, would you please explain what the government would have to prove if we were to go to trial on the three counts in this information.

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor. As to Count One, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the enterprise alleged in the indictment existed;

Second, that the enterprise affected interstate or foreign commerce;

Third, that the defendant was associated with or employed by the enterprise; and

Fourth, that the defendant knowingly and willfully conspired with at least one other person to participate in the conduct of the affairs of the enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. I note that the racketeering activities are alleged in the information at paragraph 2 which the Court has previously recited.

As to Count Two, securities fraud, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that in connection with the purchase or sale of

a security, the defendant did any one or more of the following:

(1) employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; or (2)

made an untrue statement of a material fact or omitted to state

a material fact which made what was said under the

circumstances misleading; or (3) engaged in an act, practice,

or course of business that operated or would operate as a fraud

or deceit upon a purchaser or seller;

Second, that the defendant acted willfully, knowingly, and with the intent to defraud; and

Third, that the defendant knowingly used or caused to be used any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the use of the mails in furtherance of the fraudulent conduct.

As to Count Three, wire fraud, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that there was a scheme or artifice to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises;

Second, that the defendant knowingly and willfully participated in a scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud; and

Third, that in the execution of the scheme, the defendant used or caused to be used interstate or foreign wires, such as telephone calls, e-mails, or the transmission of

money through the use of wire transfers.

Finally, the government would have to prove venue in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance of the evidence as to each count.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Podolsky.

Mr. Becker, do you understand what the government would have to prove if you did not plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count One is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all people injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Two is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$5 million, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for the crime charged in Count Three is 20 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of the greatest of \$250,000, twice the gain resulting from the offense, or twice the loss to other people resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 special assessment, plus three years of supervised release after your term of imprisonment, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible combined penalty for the three crimes to which you propose to plead guilty is 60 years of imprisonment, plus a fine of \$5,500,000 or, if greater, the sums of the relevant gains, losses, and statutory amounts resulting from your offenses, plus full restitution to all persons injured by your criminal conduct, plus a total of \$300 as a mandatory special assessment, plus supervised release for three years after your term of imprisonment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I will now give you some information and verify your understanding of the supervised release aspect of the potential penalty.

Supervised release means that you will be subject to monitoring when you are released from prison. Terms and

conditions will be imposed. If you violate any of the set terms and conditions, you can be sent back to prison without a jury trial. If you are on supervised release and do not comply with any of the set terms or conditions, you can be sent back to prison for up to two years. You will be given no credit for the time that you served in prison as a result of your sentence and no credit for any time spent on post-release supervision. So, for example, if you received a prison term and then a three-year term of supervised release and after you left prison you lived up to the terms of supervised release for almost three years but then you violated some term of the supervised release, you could be sent to prison for two whole years.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if I accept your guilty plea and adjudge you guilty, that adjudication may deprive you of valuable civil rights, such as, the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that there are sentencing guidelines that the Court must consider in determining your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you spoken to your attorney about the

24

25

1 sentencing guidelines? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. THE COURT: Do you understand that, in determining 3 4 your sentence, the Court must calculate the applicable 5 sentencing quidelines range and consider that range, possible 6 departures under the sentencing quidelines, and other 7 sentencing factors under Title 18 Section 3553(a)? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or 10 anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your 11 sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 13 THE COURT: Do you also fully understand that even if 14 your sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone 15 else told you it might be, or if it is different from what you expect, you will still be bound to your quilty plea and you 16 17 will not be allowed to withdraw your guilty plea? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand that the sentence to be 20 imposed will be determined solely by the Court and that I can 21 only determine the sentence to be imposed after the probation 22 office prepares a presentence report? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

discretion, while taking into account the specific provisions

THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court has

and policy statements in the guidelines, to sentence you to any period of imprisonment between time served at the low end and the 60-year combined statutory maximums at the high end?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you now serving any state or federal sentence or are you being prosecuted for any other crime?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, in connection with Count One, the information also includes a forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States any interest acquired or maintained in violation of Title 18, Section 1963, any interest in security of, claim against, or property or contractual right of any kind affording a source of influence over any enterprise you and your coconspirators established, operated, controlled, conducted, or participated in the conduct of in violation of Title 18, Section 1962, and any property constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from the racketeering activity charged in Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that, in connection with Counts Two and Three, the information includes an additional forfeiture allegation in which the government asserts that you are required to forfeit to the United States

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any and all property, real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of the offenses charged in those counts, including, but not limited to, a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of proceeds traceable to the commission of those offenses?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you please look at your agreement, which is marked as Government Exhibit 1. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Apologize for the noise.

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, have you signed this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, at length.

THE COURT: And did you fully understand the agreement before you signed it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Does the agreement reflect accurately your complete and total understanding of the entire agreement between the government, your attorney, and you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is everything that you understand about your plea, cooperation, and sentence covered in the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Has anything been left out?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to you other than what is set forth in that agreement or threatened you or forced you or given you anything to get you to plead guilty or enter into the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the government does not oppose or take a position on what your attorney will ask as a sentence, I am free to impose whatever sentence I believe is appropriate under the circumstances and the applicable law and you will have no right to withdraw your plea?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that a motion by the government would be required to enable me to sentence you below the mandatory -- actually, I'm sorry, to enable me to sentence you on the basis of your assistance and that it is up to the government, not up to me, to decide whether your cooperation has been substantial enough for the government to move for such a sentence and that it is up to me to decide the appropriate sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that you must cooperate fully with the office of the United States Attorney, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and any other law enforcement agency designated by the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement does not bind any federal, state, or local prosecuting authority other than the United States Attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the agreement provides that if the United States Attorney determines that you have provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution and if you have fully complied with the understandings specified in the agreement, the United States Attorney will file a motion pursuant to Section 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines requesting that the Court sentence you in light of the factors set forth in section 5K1.1(a)(1) through (5)?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the factors that the Court may consider under Section 5K1.1 include the significance and usefulness of your assistance, taking the government's evaluation into account; the truthfulness,

completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony that you provided; the nature and extent of your assistance; any injury suffered or any danger of risk of injury to you or your family as a result of your assistance; and the timeliness of your assistance?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that even if the United States Attorney files such a motion, the sentence to be imposed on you remains within the sole discretion of the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your plea even if the Court denies the motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if the United States Attorney determines that you have not provided substantial assistance in an investigation or prosecution or that you have violated any provision of the agreement, the United States Attorney is not obligated to file a motion under Section 5K1.1 of the guidelines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you will not be entitled to withdraw your guilty plea even if the United States Attorney does not file a motion?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 2 the agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or it is determined that you gave false, incomplete, or misleading testimony or information or otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, you shall be subject to prosecution for any federal violations of which the United States Attorney has knowledge, including perjury or obstruction of justice?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that on page 4 the agreement provides that if you commit any further crimes or it is determined that you gave false, incomplete, or misleading testimony or information, or otherwise violated any provision of the agreement, all statements that you have made to the United States Attorney or other designated law enforcement agents and any testimony that you have given before a grand jury or any other tribunal may be admissible in evidence in any criminal proceedings against you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your agreement also provides that you may not assert a claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence and that you have waived your right to claim that such statements should be suppressed from evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, again, on page 2,

the agreement includes your agreement with respect to Count One of the information to forfeit to the United States any interest acquired or maintained as a result of the racketeering activity charged in Count One, any interest in, security of, claim against, or property or contractual right of any kind affording a source of influence over any enterprise which you have established, controlled, conducted, or participated in the conduct of in violation of Title 18 of the United States Code Section 1962 as charged in Count One and any property constituting or derived from any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from the racketeering activity charged in Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, on page 2, the agreement also includes your agreement with respect to Counts
Two and Three of the information to forfeit to the United
States any and all property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived from the commission of the offenses alleged in Counts Two and Three?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that any amount that you do forfeit will not be credited toward any fines, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or other additional penalty that the Court may impose on you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

agreement provides that the government will not object to your release upon the bail conditions to be set, but that the government reserves its right to move for revocation or modification of those conditions without notice to you if the government determines that you have violated any provision of your agreement or any release condition?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that the government can also move for revocation or modification if it determines that revocation or modification is otherwise appropriate?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you still want to plead guilty pursuant to this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, do you know of any valid reason why Mr. Becker would prevail at trial?

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you know any reason why he should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, would you and your attorneys please stand and tell me what you did that makes you guilty of the crimes to which you are entering a plea of guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: From approximately 2007 until the

spring of 2021, I was a member of the operations team at Archegos Capital Management, a multi-billion dollar family office whose headquarters was in Manhattan. In order to invest on a scale necessary to implement the trading strategy of the family office's founder and principal, Archegos needed financial institutions both as prime brokers for publicly traded securities and U.S. and foreign exchanges and as swap counterparties for Archegos's main fund, Archegos Fund, LP. I understood that these financial institutions would not open relationships with Archegos, extend Archegos trading capacity, or offer Archegos favorable margin rates without certain representations to them about the overall holdings within the Archegos portfolio.

In order to induce these institutions to, among other things, extend Archegos the credit necessary to purchase equities or engage in swap transactions, on several occasions, in coordination with others at Archegos, I made false or misleading statements to the financial institutions about Archegos's portfolio. For example, in 2021, I falsely represented to certain financial institutions that Archegos's largest position was approximately 35 percent of its net asset value when, in fact, I knew the largest position had grown to significantly higher — to a significantly higher percentage than that. At around the same time, I also falsely represented to one of its counterparties that Archegos's portfolio with

that specific counterparty was materially different than Archegos's portfolio overall.

I knew these statements to be untrue. I made these and other similar misstatements to induce the financial institutions to continue extending Archegos trading capacity as well as to dissuade these financial institutions from liquidating positions held in Archegos's account or to take other action that might hurt Archegos's overall portfolio. Certain of these telephone calls took place from my home in Orange County, New York. I understand that these false or misleading statements were made to further Archegos's trading strategies.

THE COURT: And when you did these things, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky -- actually, before I ask you that, I notice, Mr. Becker, that you were reading from some notes or at least referring to them as you were making your allocution. How did those notes come to be prepared?

THE DEFENDANT: In consultation with my legal counsel.

THE COURT: And is all of the information that you gave me truthful regarding your own activities and knowledge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Podolsky, are there any further factual matters

that the government believes should be addressed in the allocution?

MR. PODOLSKY: No, your Honor. I believe that provides a sufficient factual basis for each of the elements of the charged offenses.

THE COURT: Thank you. Would you remain standing, please, and summarize the government's evidence against Mr. Becker.

MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor.

If we were to proceed to trial in this case, evidence by the government would include, among other things, testimony by law enforcement officials and witnesses, including witnesses of the victim financial institutions, extensive e-mail, Bloomberg message, and text message records, notes and recordings of phone calls, and corporate bank and other financial and trading records.

THE COURT: And it is the government's belief and representation that that evidence would be sufficient to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

MR. PODOLSKY: It would, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, how do you now plead to the charge in Count One of the information? Guilty or not guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count Two? Guilty or not guilty.

M412becP kjc 1 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 2 THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge in Count Three? Guilty or not guilty. 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 5 THE COURT: Are you pleading quilty to each of these 6 charges because you are in fact quilty of the criminal activity 7 charged in each count? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of 10 your own free will? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Would you please look at Court Exhibit 1, 13 which is the advice of rights form. Mr. Becker, have you 14 signed this form? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. THE COURT: Did you read it before you signed it? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 18 THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys before you signed it? 19 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And did you understand it before you 22 signed it? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, did you also review and sign

24

25

Court Exhibit 1?

24

25

Yes, I did, your Honor. 1 MR. BROWN: 2 Mr. Brown, are there any other questions THE COURT: 3 you believe I should ask Mr. Becker in connection with the 4 plea? 5 No, your Honor. MR. BROWN: 6 THE COURT: Mr. Podolsky, are there any other 7 questions you believe I should ask in connection with the plea? 8 MR. PODOLSKY: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Becker, you have acknowledged that you 10 are quilty as charged in the information. I find that you know 11 your rights and that you are waiving them voluntarily. Because 12 your plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported 13 by an independent basis in fact containing each of the 14 essential elements of the offenses, I accept your guilty plea 15 and I adjudge you guilty of the offenses charged in Counts One, Two, and Three of the information captioned United States of 16 17 America v. Scott Becker. 18 Thank you. You can be seated. 19 Mr. Brown, do you wish to be present for any interview 20 of Mr. Becker in connection with the preparation of the 21 presentence report? 22 MR. BROWN: Yes, I do, your Honor. 23

THE COURT: I will make that direction which will be conveyed to probation when we order the report.

What is the parties' desire with respect to a

sentencing date or a control date and with respect to the preparation of a report?

MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, given the current status of investigations in which Mr. Becker is actively cooperating, we would propose to hold off on the ordering of a report and to set a control date rather than a sentencing date so that Mr. Becker can continue his cooperation. We would propose a control date of either three or six months, as the Court prefers.

THE COURT: Well, I am willing to make it six months out if it is your representation that that is a period of time that may well be necessary to get to a point where ordering a presentence report would be appropriate.

MR. PODOLSKY: I think it is, your Honor. And certainly, if it becomes appropriate sooner, we won't hesitate to contact the Court in advance of the six months and suggest the ordering of a presentence report.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So, Ms. Ng, may we have a control date about six months out from now.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Friday, October 21, 2022, at 12 noon.

THE COURT: The control date is October 21, 2022, at 12 noon, and the government is to inform the Court at such time as it is appropriate to commence the preparation of a

presentence report.

I also note that the sealing order that I signed earlier requires the government to make a report in three months as to the need for continuation, if any, of the sealing.

Counsel, when it does come time to prepare the presentence report, please make sure to give your comments and any objections to the probation office promptly after disclosure of the initial draft report and please make your sentencing submissions to me in accordance with the sentencing submission procedures of my individual practices, which are available on the Court's website.

MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, the probation office will be preparing a presentence report to assist me in sentencing you. You will be interviewed by the probation office. It is important that the information that you give to the probation officer be truthful and accurate. The report is important in my decision as to what your sentence will be. You and your attorney have a right and will have an opportunity to examine the report, to challenge or comment on it, and to speak on your behalf before sentencing. Failing to be truthful with the probation office and the Court may have an adverse effect on your sentence and may subject you to prosecution.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

24

25

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Is there a proposed bail package? 3 MR. PODOLSKY: There is, your Honor. 4 The parties have discussed and would propose that 5 Mr. Becker be released today upon the following conditions: His signing of a \$300,000 personal recognizance bond 6 7 to be signed within three weeks by one additional financially responsible person; that he will surrender his passport and any 8 9 travel documents within -- also within the three weeks; and, 10 again, he would be released upon his signature today. 11 THE COURT: And travel restricted to Southern District 12 and Eastern District? 13 MR. PODOLSKY: Thank you, your Honor. If I could just 14 have one moment on that. 15 (Counsel confer) MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, if we may have travel 16 17 restricted to the States of New York, Pennsylvania, and 18 New Jersey. THE COURT: And is the government comfortable with the 19 20 necessity of that range of travel and that it doesn't increase in any untoward way any risk of flight? 21 22 MR. PODOLSKY: Your Honor, in light of the --23 particularly in light of the agreement between the parties,

comfortable that there would not be a risk of flight with these

we -- and the conditions that we have proposed, we are

M412becP kjc conditions. 1 2 THE COURT: Thank you. And regular supervision? 3 4 MR. PODOLSKY: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And so, again, for the record are you 6 comfortable that these conditions are sufficient to protect 7 against risk of flight and any danger to the community? 8 MR. PODOLSKY: We are, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 I approve the conditions as proposed, and I will do a 11 bail disposition sheet in a moment. 12 But, first, before I do that, let me ask Officer 13 Jackson whether she has any concerns or whether there are any 14 other matters, major matters that she believes should be 15 addressed in the disposition sheet. 16 THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. That was all, your Honor. 17 Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 So give me a moment and I will do the disposition 20 sheet now and tell you all what I have put on it and then you 21 can be sure we are literally on the same page about it. 22 Did Mr. Becker surrender voluntarily?

COUTUEDN DISTRICT DEDODTEDS D C

THE COURT: So I have noted here that it was a

MR. PODOLSKY: He did, your Honor.

voluntary surrender; that there are agreed conditions of

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

release of \$300,000 PRB with one financially responsible person; travel is restricted to the States of New York, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey; surrender of travel documents and no new applications; regular pretrial supervision; defendant to be released on own signature; remaining conditions to be met by 21 days.

Does that cover everything?

MR. PODOLSKY: I believe so, your Honor.

MR. BROWN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Becker, do you understand the conditions that we have discussed and under which I am granting you release pending trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I mean pending sentencing.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that the consequences of violating any of these conditions can be serious and that the consequences of violating them can be severe?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Counsel, is there anything further that we need to take up together this afternoon?

MR. PODOLSKY: Not for the government, your Honor.

MR. BROWN: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, all. Stay safe and

```
M412becP kjc
      be well.
1
               And again, this is a sealed transcript.
 2
 3
                We are adjourned.
 4
                MR. BROWN: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
                MR. PODOLSKY: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
                                      000
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```